

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,927	02/04/2002	Hans Dalla Torre	1-15691	5485
1678	7590 04/27/2004		· EXAMINER	
MARSHALL & MELHORN			YOON, TAE H	
FOUR SEAG. TOLEDO, OI	ATE, EIGHT FLOOR H 43604		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
· ,			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
	10/066,927	TORRE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tae H Yoon	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received ir prity documents have be nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No. <u>09/098,873</u> . en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/066,927

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Improper Markush language is recited and "or its (their) mixtures" at the end of claims should be "and its (their) mixtures".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torre (US 5,684,120) and EP 0 885 930.

Torre teaches the instant polyamide A having a Tg of 192°C in example 1. Torre also teaches its alloy with other amorphous transparent polyamide having a Tg of less than 90°C in an amount up to 30 weight % at col. 2, lines 52-56.

The instant invention further recites particular reactants for polyamide B having a Tg of less than 90°C over Torre. However, the use of such polyamide B having a Tg of less than 90°C with a polyamide having a higher Tg is well known as taught by EP (col. 4, lines 36-40 wherein a Tg (Glaspunkt) of 43.5°C is seen).

Application/Control Number: 10/066,927

Art Unit: 1714

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use an amorphous transparent polyamide having a Tg of less than 90°C (or 43.5°C) taught by EP in Torre in order to make a copolyamide alloy since Torre teaches alloys with other amorphous transparent polyamide having a Tg of less than 90°C in an amount up to 30 weight % and since such copolyamide is well known as taught by EP.

Claims 3 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action, and if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

THY/April 20, 2004